

**LAW OFFICES OF
McGINN & GIBB, PLLC**

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; (703) 761-2376
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB@AOL.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER †
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER †
PETER A. BALNAVE, Ph.D.
FREDRIC J. ZIMMERMAN †
JAMES E. HOWARD †
KENDAL M. SHEETS
CHRISTOPHER M. MCGINN*
† Other Than Virginia Bar Member
* Patent Engineer (Non-attorney)

ANNAPOLIS, MD OFFICE
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III
MOHAMMAD S. RAHMAN †
LAWRENCE A. SCOTT †

30
May 29, 2003

VIA FACSIMILE

To: Examiner Scott B. Geyer
Group Art Unit 2829
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (703) 746-3923

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375


Re: Enclosed 1 Amendment
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/839,298
Docket No. NEC01P030-HSc

Dear Scott:

copy of the filed Amendment which we filed on May 27, 2003
We enclose a *Amendment* for the above-identified patent application.

Thank you in advance for your consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,


James E. Howard

JEH/geb
Enclosure

Total No. of Pages Transmitted: 22

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**In re Application of**

Michitaka Urushima

Serial No.: 09/839,298**Group Art Unit:** 2829**Filed:** April 23, 2001**Examiner:** Geyer, Scott B.**For:** SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND MANUFACTURING METHOD OF THE
SAMEHonorable Commissioner of Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 26, 2003, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-9, 25-26 and 28-31 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 2-3 and 6-7 have been amended to more clearly define the invention. Claims 1, 3, 5-8 and 25 are independent.

Applicant thanks Examiners Geyer and Cuneo for the courtesies extended to Applicant's representative during a personal interview on May 27, 2003. During the personal interview, the Examiners agreed that none of the applied references teaches or suggests an adhesive layer, although the Examiners requested further language clarifying that feature of the claimed invention. Applicant is currently considering that request.